

1
2 **BEFORE THE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON**
3 **MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

4
5 In re:
6 23ANDME, INC.,

7 MDL No. 1:23-P-47

8 **MEMORANDUM OF LAW IN SUPPORT OF MOTION FOR TRANSFER**
9 **AND CONSOLIDATION OF 23ANDME, INC.**
10 **LITIGATION PURSUANT TO 28 U.S.C. § 1407**

11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	I.	INTRODUCTION	6
2	II.	FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND.....	7
3	III.	ARGUMENT	8
4	A.	The Actions Satisfy the Requirements of Section 1407	8
5	1.	The Actions Involve Common Factual Allegations.....	8
6	2.	Centralization Is Necessary to Protect Against Inconsistent Judgments and	
7		Duplicative Discovery	9
8	3.	Consolidation and Transfer Will Serve the Convenience of the Parties and	
9		Witnesses	10
10	4.	There is Sufficient Numerosity to Support Transfer and Consolidation	11
11	B.	The Actions Should be Consolidated in The Northern District of California	12
12	IV.	CONCLUSION.....	14
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

	Page(s)
Federal Cases	
<i>In re Air West, Inc. Sec. Litig.</i> , 384 F. Supp. 609 (J.P.M.L 1974).....	8
<i>In re Alodex Corp.</i> , 380 F. Supp. 790 (J.P.M.L. 1974).....	11
<i>In re Amoxicillin Patent & Antitrust Litig.</i> , 449 F. Supp. 601 (J.P.M.L. 1978).....	11
<i>In re Arc Airbag Inflators Prods. Liab. Litig.</i> , 648 F. Supp. 3d 1378 (J.P.M.L. 2022).....	10
<i>Bacus v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> , 1:23-cv-16828 (N.D. Ill. 2023).....	7
<i>In re Capital One Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.</i> , 396 F. Supp. 3d 1364 (E.D. Va. 2020)	8
<i>In re Cessna Aircraft Distrib 'ship Antitrust Litig.</i> , 460 F. Supp. 159 (J.P.M.L. 1978).....	8
<i>In re Charlotte Russe, Inc. FACTA Litig.</i> , 505 F. Supp. 2d 1377 (J.P.M.L. 2007).....	11
<i>In re Clark Oil & Refining Corp. Antitrust Litigation</i> , 364 F. Supp. 458 (J.P.M.L. 1969).....	11
<i>Conseco Life Ins. Cost of Ins. Lit.</i> , 323 F. Supp. 2d 1381, 1383 (J.P.M.L. 2004).....	12
<i>In re Crown Life Premium Litig.</i> , 178 F. Supp. 2d 1365 (J.P.M.L. 2001).....	12
<i>In re Equifax, Inc., Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.</i> , 298 F. Supp. 3d 1322 (J.P.M.L 2017).....	13
<i>In re Foot Locker, Inc. Wage & Hour Litig.</i> , 787 F. Supp. 2d 1364 (J.P.M.L. 2011).....	11
<i>In re General Adjustment Bureau Antitrust Litigation</i> , 375 F. Supp. 1405 (J.P.M.L 1973).....	8
<i>Gill v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> , 8:23-cv-02387 FWS (C.D. Cal. 2023).....	7

1	<i>In re Glaceau VitaminWater Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.,</i> 764 F. Supp. 2d 1349 (J.P.M.L. 2011).....	11
2	<i>In re Home Depot, Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.,</i> 65 F. Supp. 3d 1398 (J.P.M.L. 2014).....	10, 12
4	<i>In re Hypodermic Prods. Antitrust Litig.,</i> 408 F. Supp. 2d 1356 (J.P.M.L. 2007).....	13
5		
6	<i>In re Kia Hyundai Vehicle Theft Litig.,</i> 2022 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 230160 (J.P.M.L. Dec. 13, 2022), transferred, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 102833 (C.D. Cal. June 13, 2023)	10
7		
8	<i>In re Land Rover LR3 Tire Wear Products Liab. Litig.,</i> 598 F. Supp. 2d 1384 (J.M.P.L. 2009).....	12
9		
10	<i>In re Marriott Int'l, Inc.,</i> 363 F. Supp. 3d 1372 (J.P.M.L. 2019).....	13
11		
12	<i>In re MOVEit Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.,</i> 2023 WL 6456749 (J.P.M.L. Oct. 4, 2023)	8, 12, 13
13		
14	<i>In re Phila. Life Ins. Co. Sales Practices Litig.,</i> 149 F. Supp. 2d 937 (J.P.M.L. 2001).....	11
15		
16	<i>In re Proton-Pump Inhibitor Prods. Liab. Litig. (No. II),</i> 261 F. Supp. 3d (J.P.M.L. 2017).....	9
17		
18	<i>Santana et al. v. 23andMe, Inc.,</i> 3:23-cv-05147-EMC (N.D. Cal. 2023)	7, 12
19		
20	<i>In re Schnuck Markets, Inc., Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.,</i> 978 F. Supp. 2d 1379 (J.P.M.L. 2013).....	10, 11, 13
21		
22	<i>In re: Supervalu, Inc., Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.,</i> 67 F. Supp. 3d 1377 (J.P.M.L. 2014).....	8, 13
23		
24	<i>In re: Target Corp. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.,</i> 11 F. Supp. 3d 1338 (J.P.M.L. 2014).....	9, 13
25		
26	<i>In re Wireless Tel. Fed. Cost Recovery Fees Litig.,</i> 293 F. Supp. 2d 1378 (J.P.M.L. 2003).....	12
27		
28	<i>In re Wireless Tel. Replacement Protection Programs Litig.,</i> 180 F. Supp. 2d 1381 (J.P.M.L. 2002).....	11
	<i>In re Wireless Telephone 911 Calls Litig.,</i> 259 F. Supp. 2d 1372 (J.P.M.L. 2003).....	9

1 *In re Yosemite National Park Hantavirus Litigation,* 9
2 24 F. Supp. 3d 1370 (J.P.M.L. 2014).....
3

1 *In re Zappos.com, Inc., Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.,* 13
2 867 F. Supp. 2d 1357 (J.P.M.L. 2012).....
3

4 **State Cases**

5 *Morgenstern v. 23andMe,* 7
6 T-23-1490 (San Francisco County Superior Court, December 4, 2023)

7 *Vasquez v.23andMe Inc.* 7
8 23CV424996 (California Superior Court - Santa Clara County Oct. 31, 2023).....
9

10 **Federal Statutes**

11 28 U.S.C. § 1407..... 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12
12

13 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a) 8
14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 23andMe, Inc., 23andMe Pharmacy Holdings, Inc., 23andMe Holding Co. (collectively,
3 “23andMe”) respectfully move for an Order transferring the thirty-one (31) cases listed in the attached
4 Schedule of Actions (individually, an “Action,” and collectively, “the Actions”), as well as any cases
5 subsequently filed involving similar facts or claims (“tag-along cases”), to the United States District Court
6 for the Northern District of California for consolidated pretrial proceedings. The Actions are textbook
7 examples of cases appropriate for consolidation and transfer pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

8 The Actions all assert claims arising from an alleged data security incident in which, as a result of
9 23andMe users recycling their 23andMe passwords on multiple platforms apart from 23andMe, an
10 unauthorized actor was able to access certain 23andMe customer profile information that such customers
11 chose to make available to their genetic relatives through the 23andMe application (the “Incident”). Each
12 Action arises from the same set of alleged facts, and the judges in each Action will be required to oversee
13 much of the same discovery and rule on common issues including standing, affirmative defenses, and
14 standard of care. Litigation of the core issues and defenses will involve substantially the same fact
15 discovery, including discovery related to the Incident, the putative classes, and fact and expert witness
16 depositions. Centralization will serve the interests of the parties and the District Courts by greatly
17 enhancing efficiency and convenience and will further prevent parallel litigation in multiple courts that
18 risks inconsistent outcomes and duplicative work.

19 Based on the large (and increasing) number of actions, jurisdictions, and counsel involved, informal
20 coordination is not a viable alternative to streamline the pretrial litigation process. Consolidating the
21 Actions for pretrial matters will further the interests of efficiency while not causing any material delay.
22 The Actions were recently filed, no discovery has occurred, no depositions have been conducted, and
23 motions in response to the complaints and for class certification are months away. The time is, therefore,
24 appropriate for multi-district treatment.

25 Thus, 23andMe respectfully requests that all the related cases be consolidated in the Northern
26 District of California pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407.

1 **II. FACTUAL AND PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND**

2 23andMe is a genomics and biotechnology company with its principal place of business in South
3 San Francisco, California. In and around the beginning of October 2023, 23andMe learned that certain
4 customer profile information was accessed without permission as a result of a subset of 23andMe users
5 recycling, and not updating, their 23andMe passwords on other platforms and websites that had been the
6 subject of earlier data breaches.

7 Following 23andMe's prompt disclosure of the Incident on October 6, 2023, consumers claiming
8 that their information was involved in the Incident began filing putative class action lawsuits across the
9 country. The first Action was filed on October 9, 2023 in the Northern District of California by plaintiffs
10 Monica Santana and Paula Kleynburd. *See Santana et al. v. 23andMe, Inc.*, 3:23-cv-05147-EMC. Since
11 then, approximately thirty-two (32) additional putative class action lawsuits have been filed by alleged
12 23andMe customers in state and federal courts around the country. Of the Actions, the majority (twenty-
13 nine) have been filed in the Northern District of California, but two are pending outside of that District in
14 the Central District of California and Northern District of Illinois. *Gill v. 23andMe, Inc.*, 8:23-cv-02387
15 FWS (DFMx); *Bacus v. 23andMe, Inc.* 1:23-cv-16828.¹

16 On November 30, 2023, Judge Chen issued an Order Relating Cases, finding twenty-two Actions
17 pending in the Northern District of California are related to the earlier-filed *Santana* action. *See* ECF No.
18 33.² The last action against 23andMe was filed on December 15, 2023. *Rivers v. 23andMe Holding Co.,*
19 *23andMe, Inc.*, 3:23-cv-06481. Given the ongoing filing of putative class actions over the last two-and-a-
20 half months, 23andMe expects that lawsuits allegedly arising from the Incident will continue to be filed.

21 The Actions all encompass the same nationwide class of persons in the United States, and all actions
22 arise from the same alleged security breach.

23 ¹ There are also two California lawsuits alleging state sub-classes in which the named Plaintiffs reside. *Vasquez v. 23andMe*
24 *Inc.* 23CV424996 (California Superior Court - Santa Clara County Oct. 31, 2023); *Morgenstern v. 23andMe*, T-23-1490 (San
25 Francisco County Superior Court, December 4, 2023). The *Vasquez* and *Morgenstern* matters will not be removed to federal
court, because their classes consist exclusively of, and are limited to, California citizens, so there is no diversity of citizenship
and thus no basis for removal under CAFA. *See Vasquez Compl.* ¶¶ 67, 68; *Morgenstern Compl.* ¶ 51.

26 ² The Order Relating Cases (ECF No. 33) provides that the cases below, by case number, are related to this action and have
27 been reassigned to Judge Chen: 3:23-cv-05200-EMC; 3:23-cv-05579-EMC; 3:23-cv-05281-EMC; 3:23-cv-05178-EMC; 3:23-
28 cv-05198-EMC; 3:23-cv-05234-EMC; 3:23-cv-05259-EMC; 3:23-cv-05302-EMC; 3:23-cv-05323-EMC; 3:23-cv-05332-
EMC; 3:23-cv-05341-EMC; 3:23-cv-05345-EMC; 3:23-cv-05369-EMC; 3:23-cv-05419-EMC; 3:23-cv-05439-EMC; 3:23-cv-
05464-EMC; 3:23-cv-05541-EMC; 3:23-cv-05548-EMC; 3:23-cv-05565-EMC; 3:23-cv-05635-EMC; 3:23-cv-05677-EMC;
3:23-cv-05717-EMC; 3:23-cv-05768-EMC; 3:23-cv-05779-EMC.

1 **III. ARGUMENT**

2 **A. The Actions Satisfy the Requirements of Section 1407**

3 Section 1407 authorizes transfer and consolidation of actions pending in different federal courts
4 where there are “common questions of fact,” and transfer “will be for the convenience of parties and
5 witnesses” and “will promote the just and efficient conduct of such actions.” 28 U.S.C. § 1407(a). The
6 Panel balances these three criteria towards the overall statutory purpose of achieving efficiencies in the
7 pretrial process; no individual criteria is determinative. *In re Cessna Aircraft Distrib’ship Antitrust Litig.*,
8 460 F. Supp. 159, 161-62 (J.P.M.L. 1978). Each is satisfied here.

9 **1. The Actions Involve Common Factual Allegations**

10 When evaluating the propriety of transfer of an action under Section 1407, the Panel must first
11 determine whether common factual issues are present. *In re General Adjustment Bureau Antitrust*
12 *Litigation*, 375 F. Supp. 1405, 1406 (J.P.M.L. 1973). “[W]hen two or more complaints assert comparable
13 allegations against identical defendants based upon similar transactions and events, common factual
14 questions are presumed.” *In re Air West, Inc. Sec. Litig.*, 384 F. Supp. 609, 611 (J.P.M.L. 1974); *see also*
15 *In re Capital One Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, 396 F. Supp. 3d 1364, 1365 (E.D. Va. 2020) (the
16 Panel determined that Centralization actions involving same data security breach was warranted because
17 actions involved common questions of fact); *In re: Supervalu, Inc., Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, 67
18 F. Supp. 3d 1377, 1378 (J.P.M.L. 2014) (centralizing proceedings because “actions share factual questions
19 arising from a data security breach . . . [a]ll of the actions involve allegations that customers’ personal
20 financial information was compromised as a result of this data security breach”).

21 Here, the Actions all involve substantially similar legal theories arising from the same alleged
22 facts—an unauthorized actor’s alleged access to customer information provided by 23andMe users—and
23 all involve the same putative class of persons in the United States and putative sub-classes of persons in
24 various states across the country. Thus, common factual questions are presumed. *In re Air West*, 384 F.
25 Supp. at 611.

26 That the Actions contain different claims are asserted under different consumer protection statutes
27 does not weigh against consolidation and transfer under Section 1407. “The mere fact that divergent legal
28 theories are asserted arising out of the same substantive claims and allegations presents no bar to a Section

1 1407 transfer.” *Id*; see also *In re MOVEit Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, 2023 WL 6456749, at *3
2 (J.P.M.L. Oct. 4, 2023) (consolidating security breach cases involving different defendants and claims
3 because “parties can obtain significant efficiencies by placing all actions concerning the vulnerabilities in
4 the [] software before a single judge.”). Further, 23andMe will assert the same or substantially similar
5 defenses across nearly all Actions, which further supports centralization. For example, in *In re Yosemite*
6 *National Park Hantavirus Litigation*, 24 F. Supp. 3d 1370, 1370 (J.P.M.L. 2014), the Panel consolidated
7 actions because “not only will these actions involve common questions with regard to the alleged
8 negligence of the defendants, but it is anticipated that the United States will assert jurisdictional defenses
9 under the Federal Tort Claims Act (FTCA).” As the Panel concluded, “such defenses . . . often entail
10 complicated and lengthy discovery practice. Such discovery will be common across all the actions.” *Id.*

11 The same is true of the Actions here.

12 **2. Centralization Is Necessary to Protect Against Inconsistent Judgments and**
13 **Duplicative Discovery**

14 Courts have found that centralization under Section 1407 is appropriate to “eliminate duplicative
15 discovery; prevent inconsistent pretrial rulings” (especially with respect to class certification), and
16 “conserve the resources of the [] parties, their counsel, and the judiciary.” *In re: Target Corp. Customer*
17 *Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, 11 F. Supp. 3d 1338, 1339 (J.P.M.L. 2014) (centralizing data security breach
18 actions’); *In re Wireless Telephone 911 Calls Litig.*, 259 F. Supp. 2d 1372, 1373 (J.P.M.L. 2003).
19 Centralization promotes judicial economy because the transferee judge can order coordinated briefing and
20 other appropriate mechanisms to screen non-meritorious claims and issue categorical rulings that apply to
21 multiple cases. See *In re Proton-Pump Inhibitor Prods. Liab. Litig. (No. II)*, 261 F. Supp. 3d at 1354–55
22 (J.P.M.L. 2017) (noting that the transferee judge “can employ any number of techniques, such as
23 establishing separate discovery and motion tracks, to manage pretrial proceedings efficiently” and “has
24 substantial discretion to refine the litigation’s parameters”).

25 Here, transfer and consolidation of the Actions is necessary to conserve court resources and reduce
26 duplicative discovery. Without consolidation, discovery will necessarily be repeated across the Actions,
27 because the Actions arise from the same events, allege compromise of the same information, and likely
28 entail the same relevant documents. The number of different jurisdictions, different judges, different

1 schedules, and different plaintiffs' counsel make informal coordination of these cases highly impracticable
2 and burdensome on the parties, third parties, and the various courts. Expert discovery related to damages
3 or liability issues will necessarily overlap in each action. Transfer is appropriate to mitigate these redundant
4 proceedings.

5 Transfer is also necessary to avoid inconsistent rulings if the Actions proceed separately.
6 23andMe's defenses, including plaintiffs' comparative liability and those based on lack of standing and the
7 economic loss rule, are similar in each case. Further, because the Actions involve the same putative class,
8 class certification should be decided in one proceeding, not by many courts with jurisdiction over the same
9 putative class. The potential for inconsistent rulings warrants transfer and consolidation. *See In re Home*
10 *Depot, Inc. Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, 65 F. Supp. 3d 1398, 1399 (J.P.M.L. 2014) ("All of the
11 actions contain allegations that customers' personal financial information was compromised as a result of
12 this data security breach. Centralization thus will . . . prevent inconsistent pretrial rulings, particularly with
13 respect to class certification").

14 The procedural posture of the Actions supports consolidation. *E.g., In re Schnuck Markets, Inc.,*
15 *Customer Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, 978 F. Supp. 2d 1379, 1381 (J.P.M.L. 2013) (concluding "centralization
16 is most appropriate now," at early stage where data breach affected millions of customers, before
17 "additional tag-along actions also may be filed in this litigation."). To date, no discovery has been
18 propounded, no responsive pleadings have been filed, the deadline to respond to the pleadings has been
19 extended until at least January 2, 2024, and the schedule for briefing class certification has not been set.
20 No party has been forced to incur the costs and expend the efforts to propound discovery or engage in
21 motion practice in an Action. The Panel should intervene at this early stage to transfer the Actions for
22 coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings before additional actions will be filed.

23 **3. Consolidation and Transfer Will Serve the Convenience of the Parties and**
24 **Witnesses**

25 Transfer under 28 U.S.C.S. § 1407 is appropriate only where, as here, transfer serves the
26 convenience of parties and witnesses. Consolidation and transfer will best serve the convenience of
27 23andMe and the majority of plaintiffs. 23andMe has its headquarters near the Northern District of
28 California, and most of the plaintiffs purport to be residents of that district. Conversely, proceeding outside

1 the Northern District of California is prejudicial to both parties, especially 23andMe, given its presence in
2 South San Francisco. *In re Arc Airbag Inflators Prods. Liab. Litig.*, 648 F. Supp. 3d 1378, 1380 (J.P.M.L.
3 2022); *In re Kia Hyundai Vehicle Theft Litig.*, 2022 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 230160 (J.P.M.L. Dec. 13, 2022),
4 transferred, 2023 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 102833 (C.D. Cal. June 13, 2023) (holding that Central District of
5 California was appropriate forum where the main defendants were based in Orange County, California
6 because centralization served convenience of parties and witnesses, promoted just and efficient conduct of
7 litigation, and offered substantial opportunity to streamline pretrial proceedings).

8 Declining to consolidate these cases will result in significant prejudice to 23andMe because of the
9 likelihood that additional actions will be filed, potentially in other districts. See *In re Schnuck.*, 978 F. Supp.
10 2d at 1381; *In re Glaceau VitaminWater Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.*, 764 F. Supp. 2d 1349, 1351
11 (J.P.M.L. 2011) (consolidating three putative class actions where “it seems likely that additional related
12 actions could be filed”). See *In re Foot Locker, Inc. Wage & Hour Litig.*, 787 F. Supp. 2d 1364 (J.P.M.L.
13 2011) (centralizing four putative class actions because discovery for the defendant would overlap and
14 because related class actions may arise); *In re Charlotte Russe, Inc. FACTA Litig.*, 505 F. Supp. 2d 1377,
15 1378 (J.P.M.L. 2007) (ordering transfer of two putative class actions and one tag-along class action that
16 raised common issues). Thus, this Motion should be granted, and the Actions consolidated before one court.

17 **4. There is Sufficient Numerosity to Support Transfer and Consolidation**

18 There have already been 32 cases filed regarding this matter in the United States. Moreover, it is
19 likely that additional cases will be filed, potentially in a multitude of additional districts, making transfer
20 and consolidating essential. E.g., *In re Schnuck*, 978 F. Supp. 2d at 1381. The Panel has routinely ordered
21 transfer and consolidation of five or fewer cases. See *In re Wireless Tel. Replacement Protection Programs*
22 *Litig.*, 180 F. Supp. 2d 1381, 1382 (J.P.M.L. 2002) (granting transfer and centralization of three consumer
23 protection cases and determining that pending motions can be presented to and decided by the transferee
24 judge); *In re Phila. Life Ins. Co. Sales Practices Litig.*, 149 F. Supp. 2d 937, 938 (J.P.M.L. 2001) (granting
25 transfer of two deceptive insurance sales cases and finding that such transfer would promote the just and
26 efficient conduct of the litigation); *In re Amoxicillin Patent & Antitrust Litig.*, 449 F. Supp. 601, 603
27 (J.P.M.L. 1978) (granting transfer of three cases involving patent and antitrust issues); *In re Alodex Corp.*,
28 380 F. Supp. 790, 791 (J.P.M.L. 1974) (granting transfer of three securities actions).

1 The Panel can consolidate cases that are pending in as few as two District Courts. For example, in
2 *In re Clark Oil & Refining Corp. Antitrust Litigation*, 364 F. Supp. 458, 459 (J.P.M.L. 1969), the Panel
3 granted Illinois plaintiffs' motion to transfer an action pending in the Eastern District of Wisconsin to the
4 Northern District of Illinois for coordinated or consolidated pretrial proceedings pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
5 §1407. The plaintiff in the Wisconsin action opposed the motion, arguing that "the minimal number of
6 cases and relative simplicity of the common factual questions" support denial of the motion. *Id.* at 459.
7 The panel rejected this argument finding that "the greater complexity of factual issues presented [] and the
8 presence of competing requests for class designation" made transfer necessary to avoid duplication of
9 discovery and the possibility of inconsistent rulings. *Id.*

10 There is sufficient authority for transfer and consolidation of the actions against 23andMe.

11 **B. The Actions Should be Consolidated in The Northern District of California**

12 The Northern District of California is the most appropriate venue for centralization of the Actions.
13 The Panel tends to favor consolidation at the site of the first-filed and most advanced action. *See, e.g., In*
14 *re Land Rover LR3 Tire Wear Products Liab. Litig.*, 598 F. Supp. 2d 1384, 1386 (J.M.P.L. 2009) ("The
15 Central District of California is an appropriate transferee forum because the first-filed and most
16 procedurally advanced actions are pending there."); *In re Wireless Tel. Fed. Cost Recovery Fees Litig.*, 293
17 F. Supp. 2d 1378, 1380 (J.P.M.L. 2003) (transferring cases to a district which, among other things, presides
18 over "the first-filed and furthest advanced actions"). Here, the first-filed action, *Santana et al. v. 23andMe,*
19 *Inc.*, was filed in the Northern District of California on October 9, 2023.

20 Moreover, the majority (29) of the actions are pending in the Northern District of California. This,
21 too, militates towards consolidation in the Northern District of California. *In re Home Depot*, 65 F. Supp.
22 3d at 1400 (centralizing data breach actions to district near defendant's headquarters since "[n]ineteen of
23 the thirty-one actions and potential tag-along actions" were pending there, and "the district is easily
24 accessible for the parties in this litigation"); *Conseco Life Ins. Cost of Ins. Lit.*, 323 F. Supp. 2d 1381, 1383
25 (J.P.M.L. 2004) (centralizing where a "plurality of cases" were pending). The Actions pending in the
26 Northern District of California will likely encompass the greatest number of potential class members. The
27 Actions pending outside the Northern District of California are brought on behalf of putative classes of
28 only California and Illinois residents, as compared to the putative nationwide classes that plaintiffs in the

1 Northern District of California Actions seek to represent. *See In re MOVEit*, 2023 WL 6456749, at *3
2 (“While we are aware that centralization may pose some inconvenience to some parties, in deciding issues
3 of transfer under Section 1407, we look to the overall convenience of the parties and witnesses, not just
4 those of a single plaintiff or defendant in isolation.”); *In re Crown Life Premium Litig.*, 178 F. Supp. 2d
5 1365, 1366 (J.P.M.L. 2001) (“[W]hile transfer of a particular action might inconvenience some parties to
6 that action, such a transfer often is necessary to further the expeditious resolution of the litigation taken as
7 a whole.”).

8 Further, 23andMe is headquartered in Northern District of California, providing another basis for
9 transferring all federal actions (and possible tag-along actions) to the District. Because its principal place
10 of business is in the Northern District of California, most witnesses and documents—e.g., its servers and
11 its employees handling the Incident—will be located in the District. *See In re Zappos.com, Inc., Customer*
12 *Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, 867 F. Supp. 2d 1357, 1358 (J.P.M.L. 2012) (transferring to district that “has the
13 strongest connection to this litigation, inasmuch as [defendant] is based [there] . . . personnel who
14 responded to the data breach are located in this district, as are the servers from which customer data was
15 obtained, in addition to other potentially relevant documents and witnesses”). The Panel has consistently
16 transferred data breach cases coordinated as MDLs to the district where the defendant is headquartered, as
17 “relevant documents and witnesses thus likely will be found there.” E.g., *In re Equifax, Inc., Customer*
18 *Data Sec. Breach Litig.*, 298 F. Supp. 3d 1322, 1326 (J.P.M.L. 2017); *In re Marriott Int'l, Inc.*, 363 F. Supp.
19 3d 1372, 1374–75 (J.P.M.L. 2019) (same); *In re Target*, 11 F. Supp. 3d at 1339 (same); *In re Supervalu*,
20 67 F. Supp. 3d at 1378 (same); *In re Schnuck*, 978 F. Supp. 2d at 1381–82 (same); *In re Zappos.com*, 867
21 F. Supp. 2d at 1358 (same).

22 Since 23andMe’s records, principals, and employees are in the Northern District of California,
23 centralization in that district will allow potential 23andMe witnesses and persons most qualified to
24 participate in discovery more freely. A proposed transferee forum’s accessibility to parties and witnesses
25 is a factor that the Panel has given significant weight in choosing transferee forums. *See, e.g., In re MOVEit*,
26 2023 WL 6456749, at *3 (“Relevant employees likely are based in this district, where potentially relevant
27 databases, documents, witnesses, and other evidence also may be found”); *In re Hypodermic Prods.*
28

¹ *Antitrust Litig.*, 408 F. Supp. 2d 1356, 1357 (J.P.M.L. 2007) (choosing transferee forum, in part, because it
² was “easily accessible”).³

3 For all of these reasons, the Northern District of California is the appropriate choice for the MDL
4 proceedings.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the reasons stated in this Memorandum and accompanying Motion, 23andMe respectfully requests that this Panel enter an order transferring the actions on the attached Schedule of Actions to the Northern District of California for consolidated pretrial proceedings.

DATED: December 21, 2023

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

By: /s/ Ian C. Ballon
Ian C. Ballon
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
Ballon@gtlaw.com
1900 University Avenue, 5th Floor
East Palo Alto, California 94303
Tel: 650-289-7881; Fax: 650-462-7881
Attorneys for Defendant, 23andMe, Inc., 23andMe
Pharmacy Holdings, Inc., 23andMe Holding Co.

²⁷ Additionally, the presence of numerous state court actions near the Northern District of California also weighs in favor of transfer and consolidation there. *E.g.*, *In re Zappos.com*, 867 F. Supp. 2d at 1358 (“With a pending Nevada state court action, centralization in the District of Nevada will facilitate coordination between the federal and state court action.”).

**BEFORE THE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

In re 23andMe, INC. MDL No. 1:23-P-47

SCHEDULE OF ACTIONS

Case Captions	Court	Civil Action No.	Judge
Plaintiffs: Monica Santana And Paula Kleynburd, On Behalf Of Themselves And All Others Similarly Situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	3:23-cv-05147	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Kerry Lamons, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	5:23-cv-05178	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Michelle Andrizzi, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	5:23-cv-05198	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Bonnie Eden, Daniel Pinho, Thomas Seawright And Pamela Zager-Maya, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	4:23-cv-05200	Hon. Haywood S. Gilliam, Jr.
Plaintiff: J.S., J.T., and A.L., individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc., 23andMe Holding Co., 23andMe Pharmacy Holdings, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	5:23-cv-5234	Hon. Edward M. Chen

Plaintiff: Haris Mirza, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	23-cv-05259	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Katianne Navarro and Michael Blackwell, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	4:23-cv-05281	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Caroline Greenberg, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	5:23-cv-05302	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Nichole Friend, Aaron Parra, And Mari Rajamin, individually, and on behalf of all similarly situated individuals Defendant: 23andMe Holding Co, A California Corporation; And Does 1 Through 50, Inclusive	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	4:23-cv-05323	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Alexandra Hoffman and Alexandra Klawitter, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	5:23-cv-05332	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Adriane Farmer, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	3:23-cv-05341	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Melanie Berman, Lisa Jones, Lyon Leifer, Kathleen Canfield Loftus, Julia Hawkins, individually and on behalf	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	23-CV-05345	Hon. Edward M. Chen

of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.			
Plaintiff: David Tulchinsky, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	5:23-cv-05369	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Stephen L. Seikel, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	5:23-cv-05419	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Elaine Fralix, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	5:23-cv-05439	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Harold Velez, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	3:23-cv-05464	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Laquisha Smith, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	5:23-cv-05548	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Max Alperstein And Arya Shoae, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	5:23-cv-5541	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Nicholas Furia, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	4:23-cv-05565	Hon. Edward M. Chen

Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.			
Plaintiff: Michael Schutz, Cody Vogel, and Eileen Mullen, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Jose Division)	3:23-cv-05579	Hon. Donna M. Ryu
Plaintiff: Thomas Vickery, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	3:23-cv-05635	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Brianna Sorensen, and all similarly situated individuals Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	5:23-cv-05677	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: John Doe, Individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	3:23-cv-05717	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Briana Dube, Individually And On Behalf Of All Others Similarly Situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	5:23-cv-05768	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Brandon Molina, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	5:23-cv-05779	Hon. Edward M. Chen
Plaintiff: Melissa Ryan, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District Of California (San Jose Division)	3:23-cv-05968	Hon. Donna M. Ryu

Plaintiff: Tracy Scott, Anna Daveiga, And Emily Beale On Behalf Of Themselves And All Others Similarly Situated Defendant: 23andMe Holding Co. And 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District Of California (San Francisco Division)	3:23-cv-05980	Hon. Laurel Beeler
Plaintiff: Polina Ioffe, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of California (San Francisco Division)	5:23-cv-06205	Hon. Nathanael Cousins
Plaintiff: Kristen Rivers, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc., 23andMe Holding Co.	Northern District of California (San Jose Division)	3:23-cv-06481	Hon. Donna M. Ryu
Plaintiff: Dhaman Gill, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Central District of California (Southern Division)	8:23-cv-02387-FWS-DFM	Hon. Fred W. Slaughter
Plaintiff: Michele Bacus, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated Defendant: 23andMe, Inc.	Northern District of Illinois	1:23-cv-16828	Hon. Manish S. Shah

**BEFORE THE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

In re 23ANDME, INC. MDL No. 1:23-P-47

Proof of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion for Transfer and Consolidation of the 23andMe, Inc. Litigation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, Brief in Support, Schedule of Actions, and this Certificate of Service was served by Email and First Class Mail on December 21, 2023, to the following:

Clerk, Northern District California
San Francisco, CA

Clerk, Northern District California
San Jose, CA

Clerk, Central District of California
Santa Ana, CA

Clerk, Northern District of Illinois
Chicago, IL

<p>Santana, et al. v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 3:23-cv-05147-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>Scott Edelsberg EDELSBERG LAW, P.A. scott@edelsberglaw.com 1925 Century Park E #1700 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: 305-975-3320</p> <p>Andrew J. Shamis SHAMIS & GENTILE, P.A. 14 NE 1st Avenue, Suite 400 Miami, FL 33132 Telephone: 305-479-2299 ashamis@shamisgentile.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Santana and Kleynburd</p>	<p>Lamons v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv- 05178- EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>Michael R. Reese mreese@reesellp.com 100 West 93rd Street, 16th Floor New York, New York 10025 Telephone: (212) 643-0500</p> <p>George V. Granade 8484 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 515 Los Angeles, California 90211 Telephone: (310) 393-0070 ggrandade@reesellp.com</p> <p>Charles D. Moore REESE LLP cmoore@reesellp.com 100 South 5th Street, Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402</p>
---	--

	<p>Telephone: (212) 643-0500</p> <p>Kevin Laukaitis LAU-KAITIS LAW LLC <i>klaukaitis@laukaitis.com</i> 954 Avenida Ponce De Leon Suite 205, #10518 San Juan, Puerto Rico 00907 Telephone: (215) 789-4462</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Lamons</p>
<p><i>Eden et al v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 4:23- cv-05200-HSG) Northern District of California</p> <p>Melissa Emert Gary S. Graifman KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMER & GRAIFMAN, P.C. 135 Chestnut Ridge Road, Suite 200 Montvale, NJ 07645 <i>memert@kgglaw.com</i> <i>ggraifman@kgglaw.com</i> Telephone: (845) 356-2570 Facsimile: (845) 356-4335</p> <p>David S. Casey <i>dcasey@cglaw.com</i> Gayle M. Blatt <i>gmb@cglaw.com</i> P. Camille Guerra <i>camille@cglaw.com</i> 110 Laurel Street San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 238-1811 Facsimile: (619) 544-9232 CASEY GERRY SCHENK FRANCAVILLA BLATT & PENFIELD, LLP</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Eden, Pinho, Seawright, and Zager-Maya</p>	<p><i>J.S. et al v. 23andMe, Inc., et al.</i> (Case No. 5:23-cv-05234- EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>Sharon J. Zinns ZINNS LAW, LLC 4243 Dunwoody Club Drive Suite 104 Atlanta, Georgia 30350 (404) 882-9002 <i>sharon@zinnslaw.com</i></p> <p>Maureen M. Brady Lucy McShane McSHANE & BRADY, LLC 1656 Washington Street, Suite 120 Kansas City, MO 64108 Telephone: (816) 888-8010 Facsimile: (816) 332-6295 <i>mbrady@mcshebradylaw.com</i> <i>lmcshe@mcshebradylaw.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs J.S., J.T., and A.L.</p>
<p><i>Mirza v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 3:23-cv- 05259- EMC) Northern District of California</p>	<p><i>Navarro v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 4:23-cv- 05281-EMC) Northern District of California</p>

<p>Seyed Abbas Kazerounian Mona Amini KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC <i>ak@kazlg.com</i> <i>mona@kazlg.com</i> 245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1 Costa Mesa, California 92626 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Haris Mirza</p>	<p>Scott Edward Cole Laura Grace Van Note COLE & VAN NOTE 555 12th Street, Suite 2100 Oakland, California 94607 Telephone: (510) 891-9800 Facsimile: (510) 891-7030 <i>sec@colevannote.com</i> <i>lvn@colevannote.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Navarro and Blackwell</p>
<p><i>Greenberg v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 5:23- cv-05302- EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>John J. Nelson MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC 402 W Broadway, Suite 1760 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel.: (858) 209-6941 <i>jnelson@milberg.com</i></p> <p>Jeff Ostrow KOPELOWITZ OSTROW FERGUSON WEISELBERG GILBERT One West Las Olas Blvd., Suite 500 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301 Telephone: 954-525-4100 <i>ostrow@kolawyers.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Greenberg</p>	<p><i>Friend v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 4:23-cv- 05323-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>Kaveh S. Elihu Saima Ali Gipson 1001 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 382-2222 Facsimile: (213) 382-2230 EMPLOYEE JUSTICE LEGAL GROUP, PC <i>kelihu@ejlglaw.com</i> <i>sali@ejlglaw.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Friend, Parra, and Rajamin</p>
<p><i>Hoffman et al v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 3:23-cv-05332-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>John J. Nelson MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC 402 W. Broadway, Suite 1760</p>	<p><i>Farmer v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 5:23-cv- 05341-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>M. Anderson Berry Gregory Haroutunian Brandon P. Jack CLAYEO C. ARNOLD</p>

<p>San Diego, California 9 Tel.: (858) 209-6941 <i>jnelson@milberg.com</i></p> <p>Marc E. Dann Brian D. Flick DANNLAW <i>notices@dannlaw.com</i></p> <p>Thomas A. Zimmerman, Jr. ZIMMERMAN LAW OFFICES, P.C. 77 W. Washington Street, Suite 1220 Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 440-0020 telephone (312) 440-4180 facsimile <i>tom@attorneyzim.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Hoffman and Klawaitter</p>	<p>865 Howe Avenue Sacramento, CA 95825 Telephone: (916) 239-4778 <i>aberry@justice4you.com gharoutunian@justice4you.com bjack@justice4you.com</i></p> <p>Benjamin H. Kleine KLEINE PC 95 Third Street, 2nd Floor, #9048 San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone: 415-465-5655 <i>ben@kleinepc.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Farmer</p>
<p><i>Berman et al v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 4:23-cv-05345-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>Ross M. Good LOFTUS & EISENBERG, LTD. 161 N. Clark, Suite 1600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 T: (312) 889-6625 <i>ross@loftusandeisenberg.com</i></p> <p>William Aron ARON LAW FIRM 15 W Carrillo St, Suite 217 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 T: (805) 618-1768 <i>bill@aronlawfirm.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Berman, Hawkins, Jones, Leifer, and Canfield Loftus</p>	<p><i>Tulchinsky v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 5:23- cv-05369-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>Michael R. Reese <i>mreese@reesellp.com</i> 100 West 93rd Street, 16th Floor New York, New York 10025 Telephone: (212) 643-0500</p> <p>George V. Granade <i>ggrandade@reesellp.com</i> 8484 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 515 Los Angeles, California 90211 Telephone: (310) 393-0070</p> <p>Charles D. Moore <i>cmoore@reesellp.com</i> 100 South 5th Street, Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 Telephone: (212) 643-0500</p> <p>REESE LLP</p> <p>Courtney L. Weiner <i>cw@courtneyweinerlaw.com</i> 1629 K St. NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 827-9980</p>

	LAW OFFICE OF COURTNEY WEINER PLLC Attorneys for Plaintiff Tulchinsky
Seikel v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv- 05419-EMC) Northern District of California Ben F. Pierce Gore PIERCE GORE LAW FIRM, PC 315 Montgomery Street 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 (408) 806-4600 <i>piercegore@gmail.com</i> Charles J. LaDuka Brendan Thompson CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA, LLP 4725 Wisconsin Avenue NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20016 (202) 789-3960 <i>charles@cuneolaw.com brendan@cuneo-law.com</i> Charles Barrett Daniella Bhadare-Valente Morgan L. Burkett NEAL & HARWELL, PLC 1201 Demonbreun St. Suite 1000 Nashville, TN 37203 (615) 244-1713 <i>cbarrett@nealharwell.com dbhadare-valente@nealharwell.com mburkett@nealharwell.com</i> Attorneys for Plaintiff Seikel	Fralix v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv- 05439-EMC) Northern District of California Eric M. Poulin <i>eric.poulin@poulinwilley.com</i> Blake G. Abbott <i>blake.abbott@poulinwilley.com</i> Paul J. Doolittle <i>paul.doolittle@poulinwilley.com</i> 32 Ann Street Charleston, SC 29403 Telephone: (803) 222-2222 Fax: (843) 494-5536 POULIN WILLEY ANASTOPOULO, LLC John Christian <i>yanni@bohrenlaw.com</i> 8560 West Sunset Boulevard, 4th Floor West Hollywood, CA 90069 Tel: 619-433-2803 Fax: 800-867-6779 Bohren BOHREN LAW, APC Attorneys for Plaintiff Fralix
Velez v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 3:23-cv- 05464-EMC) Northern District of California Karen Hanson Riebel Kate Baxter-Kauf Maureen Kane Berg LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P. 100 Washington Square, Suite 2200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401	Smith v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv- 05548- EMC) Northern District of California Nicholas A. Migliaccio 412 H Street NE, no. 302, Washington, DC, 20002 Office: (202) 470-3520 <i>nmigliaccio@classlawdc.com</i>

<p>Telephone: 612-339-6900 Facsimile: 612-339-0981 <i>khriebel@locklaw.com kmbaxter-kauf@locklaw.com mkberg@locklaw.com</i></p> <p>Gayle M. Blatt P. Camille Guerra CASEY GERRY SCHENK FRANCAVILLA BLATT & PENFIELD, LLP 110 Laurel Street San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619-238-1811 Facsimile: 619-544-9232 <i>gmb@cglaw.com camille@cglaw.com</i></p> <p>Gary F. Lynch LYNCH CARPENTER, LLP 1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor Pittsburg, PA 15222 Telephone: 412-322-9243 Facsimile: 412-231-0246 <i>gary@lcllp.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Velez</p>	<p>Jason S. Rathod <i>jrathod@classlawdc.com</i></p> <p>Matthew Smith 201 Spear Street, Suite 1100 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (831) 687-8255 MIGLIACCIO & RATHOD LLP <i>msmith@classlawdc.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Laquisha Smith</p>
<p><i>Alperstein et al v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 4:23-cv-05541-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>CLARKSON LAW FIRM, P.C. Ryan J. Clarkson <i>rclarkson@clarksonlawfirm.com</i> Yana Hart <i>yhart@clarksonlawfirm.com</i> Tiara Avaness <i>tavaness@clarksonlawfirm.com</i> Valter Malkhasyan <i>vmalkhasyan@clarksonlawfirm.com</i> 22525 Pacific Coast Highway Malibu, CA 90265 Tel: (213) 788-4050 Fax: (213) 788-4070</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Alperstein and Shoae</p>	<p><i>Furia v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 4:23-cv- 05565-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>Matthew Smith MIGLIACCIO & RATHOD LLP 201 Spear Street, Suite 1100 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (831) 687-8255 <i>msmith@classlawdc.com</i></p> <p>Daniel E. Gustafson David A. Goodwin Matt Jacobs GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC 120 South Sixth Street, Suite 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: (612) 333-8844 <i>dgustafson@gustafsongluek.com dgoodwin@gustafsongluek.com mjacos@gustafsongluek.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Furia</p>

<p>Schutz v. 23andMe Inc. (Case No. 3:23-cv-05579) Northern District of California</p> <p>KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP Laurence D. King Matthew B. George Blair E. Reed 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1560 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: 415-772-4700 Facsimile: 415-772-4707 Email: lkking@kaplanfox.com mgeorge@kaplanfox.com breed@kaplanfox.com</p> <p>BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. Ben Barnow* Anthony L. Parkhill* 205 West Randolph Street, Suite 1630 Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: 312-621-2000 Facsimile: 312-641-5504 Email: b.barnow@barnowlaw.com aparkhill@barnowlaw.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Schutz and the Proposed Class</p>	<p>Vickery v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 3:23-cv- 05635-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>Rachele R. Byrd WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 750 B Street, Suite 1820 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 239-4599 Facsimile: (619) 234-4599 byrd@whafh.com</p> <p>Jon Tostrud TOSTRUD LAW GROUP, PC 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: 310/278-2600 Facsimile: 310/278-2640 jtostrud@tostrudlaw.com</p> <p>Erik H. Langeland ERIK H. LANGELAND, P.C. 733 Third Avenue, 16th Floor New York, NY. 10017 Telephone: (212) 354-6270 elangeland@langelandlaw.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Vickery</p>
<p>Sorensen v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv-05677-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>M. Anderson Berry Gregory Haroutunian Brandon P. Jack CLAYEO C. ARNOLD 865 Howe Avenue Sacramento, CA 95825 Telephone: (916) 239-4778 Facsimile: (916) 924-1829 aberry@justice4you.com gharoutunian@justice4you.com bjack@justice4you.com</p> <p>William B. Federman FEDERMAN & SHERWOOD</p>	<p>Doe v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 3:23-cv-05717-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP DOROTHY P. ANTULLIS* STUART A. DAVIDSON* LINDSEY H. TAYLOR* NICOLLE B. BRITO ALEXANDER C. COHEN* NICOLLE B. BRITO 225 NE Mizner Boulevard, Suite 720 Boca Raton, FL 33432 Telephone: 561/750-3000 561/750-3364 (fax)</p>

<p>10205 N. Pennsylvania Ave. Oklahoma City, OK 73120 -and- 212 W. Spring Valley Road Richardson, Texas 75081 Telephone: (405) 235-1560 Facsimile: (405) 239-2112 wbf@federmanlaw.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Sorensen</p>	<p>THE GRANT LAW FIRM, PLLC LYNDA J. GRANT* 521 Fifth Avenue, 17th Floor New York, NY 10175 Telephone: 212/292-4441 212/292-4442 (fax)</p> <p>LONGMAN LAW, P.C. HOWARD T. LONGMAN* 354 Eisenhower Parkway, Suite 1800 Livingston, NJ 07039 Telephone: 973/994-2315 973/994-2319 (fax)</p> <p>Attorneys for John Doe</p>
<p>Briana Dube v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv-05768-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>John J. Nelson MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, LLC 402 W. Broadway, Suite 1760 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (858) 209-6941 Fax: (858) 209-6941 Email: jnelson@milberg.com</p> <p>Jason P. Sultzer, Esq. ** 270 Madison Avenue, Suite 1800 New York, NY 10016 Tel: (845) 483-7100 Fax: (888) 749-7747 sultzerj@thesultzerlawgroup.com</p> <p>Charles E. Schaffer, Esq. ** LEVIN SEDRAN & BERMAN 510 Walnut Street, Suite 500 Philadelphia, PA 19106 Tel: 215-592-1500 cschaffer@lfsblaw.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Dube the Proposed Class</p>	<p>Andrizzi v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv-05198) Northern District of California</p> <p>Daniel Srourian, Esq. SROURIAN LAW FIRM, P.C. 3435 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1710 Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone: (213) 474-3800 Facsimile: (213) 471-4160 Email: daniel@slfla.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Andrizzi</p>
<p>Brandon Molina v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv-05779-EMC)</p>	<p>Tracy Scott v. 23andMe Holding Co., 23andMe, Inc.</p>

<p>Northern District of California</p> <p>Daniel E. Barenbaum Christina M. Sarraf 425 California Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-3200 Facsimile: (415) 433-6382 Email: dbarenbaum@bermantabacco.com csarraf@bermantabacco.com Patrick T. Egan Steven J. Buttacavoli BERMAN TABACCO One Liberty Square Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 542-8300 Facsimile: (617) 542-1194 Email: pegan@bermantabacco.com sbuttacavoli@bermantabacco.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Molina</p>	<p>(Case No. 3:23cv5980) Northern District of California</p> <p>LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo Patrick R. Carey Meredyth L. Merrow 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com</p> <p>Joseph P. Guglielmo Carey Alexander SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP The Helmsley Building 230 Park Avenue, 17th Floor New York, NY 10169-1820 Telephone: (212) 223-6444 Facsimile: (212) 223-6334 jguglielmo@scott-scott.com calexander@scott-scott.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Scott</p>
<p>Ioffe v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv-06205) Northern District of California</p> <p>SCHUBERT JONCKHEER & KOLBE LLP ROBERT C. SCHUBERT (rschubert@sjk.law) AMBER L. SCHUBERT (aschubert@sjk.law) 2001 Union St, Ste 200 San Francisco, CA 94123 Tel: (415) 788-4220 Fax: (415) 788-0161</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Ioffe</p>	<p>Rivers v. 23andMe Holding Co., 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 3:23-cv-06481) Northern District of California</p> <p>AZRA MEHDI (SBN 220406) azram@themehdifirm.com The Mehdi Firm, PC 95 Third Street 2nd Floor No. 9122 San Francisco, CA 94103 Ph/Fax: (415) 905-8880 John C. Herman jherman@hermanjones.com Candace N. Smith csmith@hermanjones.com</p> <p>HERMAN JONES LLP 3424 Peachtree Road N.E., Suite 1650 Atlanta, Georgia 30326</p>

	<p>Telephone: (404) 504-6500 Facsimile: (404) 504-6501</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Rivers and the Proposed Class</p>
<p><i>Melissa Ryan v. 23andMe Inc.</i> (Case No. 3:23-cv-5968) Northern District of California</p> <p>GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP Marc L. Godino 1925 Century Park East Suite 2100 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 201-9150 Facsimile: (310) 432-1495 Email: mgodino@glancylaw.com</p> <p>Brian P. Murray GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP 230 Park Avenue, Suite 358 New York, NY 10169 Tel: (212) 682-5340 Fax: (212)-884-0988 bmurray@glancylaw.com</p> <p>LAW OFFICE OF PAUL C. WHALEN, P.C. Paul C. Whalen 768 Plandome Road Manhasset, NY 11030 Tel.: (516) 426-6870 paul@paulwhalen.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Ryan and the Proposed Class</p>	<p><i>Dhaman Gill v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 8:23-cv-02387-FWS-DFM) Central District of California</p> <p>Michael R. Reese mreese@reesellp.com REESE LLP 100 West 93rd Street, 16th Floor New York, New York 10025 Telephone: (212) 643-0500</p> <p>George V. Granade ggranade@reesellp.com REESE LLP 8484 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 515 Los Angeles, California 90211 Telephone: (310) 393-0070</p> <p>Kevin Laukaitis klaukaitis@laukaitislaw.com LAUKAITIS LAW LLC 954 Avenida Ponce De Leon Suite 205, #10518 San Juan, PR 00907 Telephone: (215) 789-4462</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Dhaman Gill</p>
<p><i>Bacus v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 1:23-cv-16828) Northern District of Illinois</p> <p>Andrea Gold Hassan A. Zavareei hzavareei@tzlegal.com Glenn E. Chappell gchappell@tzlegal.com David W. Lawler dlawler@tzlegal.com</p>	

Leora N. Friedman
lfriedman@tzlegal.com
TYCKO & ZAVAREEI LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Suite 1010
Washington, D.C. 20006
Telephone: (202) 973-0900
Facsimile: (202) 973-0950

Attorneys for Plaintiff Michele Bacus

DATED: December 21, 2023

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

By: /s/ Ian C. Ballon
Ian C. Ballon
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
Ballon@gtlaw.com
1900 University Avenue, 5th Floor
East Palo Alto, California 94303
Tel: 650-289-7881; Fax: 650-462-7881
Attorneys for Defendant, 23andMe, Inc., 23andMe
Pharmacy Holdings, Inc., 23andMe Holding Co.

**BEFORE THE UNITED STATES JUDICIAL PANEL ON
MULTIDISTRICT LITIGATION**

In re 23ANDME, INC. MDL No. ____

Proof of Service

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Motion for Transfer and Consolidation of the 23andMe, Inc. Litigation pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1407, Brief in Support, Schedule of Actions, and this Certificate of Service was served by Email and First Class Mail on December 21, 2023, to the following:

Clerk, Northern District California
San Francisco, CA

Clerk, Northern District California
San Jose, CA

Clerk, Central District of California
Santa Ana, CA

Clerk, Northern District of Illinois
Chicago, IL

Santana, et al. v. 23andMe, Inc.
(Case No. 3:23-cv-05147-EMC)
Northern District of California

Scott Edelsberg
EDELSBERG LAW, P.A.
scott@edelsberglaw.com
1925 Century Park E #1700
Los Angeles, CA 90067
Telephone: 305-975-3320

Andrew J. Shamis
SHAMIS & GENTILE, P.A.
14 NE 1st Avenue, Suite 400
Miami, FL 33132
Telephone: 305-479-2299
ashamis@shamisgentile.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Santana and Kleynburd

Lamons v. 23andMe, Inc.
(Case No. 5:23-cv- 05178- EMC)
Northern District of California

Michael R. Reese
mreese@reesellp.com
100 West 93rd Street, 16th Floor
New York, New York 10025
Telephone: (212) 643-0500

George V. Granade
8484 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 515
Los Angeles, California 90211
Telephone: (310) 393-0070
ggrandade@reesellp.com

Charles D. Moore REESE LLP
cmoore@reesellp.com
100 South 5th Street, Suite 1900
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402

	<p>Telephone: (212) 643-0500</p> <p>Kevin Laukaitis LAU-KAITIS LAW LLC <i>klaukaitis@laukaitis.com</i> 954 Avenida Ponce De Leon Suite 205, #10518 San Juan, Puerto Rico 00907 Telephone: (215) 789-4462</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Lamons</p>
<p><i>Eden et al v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 4:23- cv-05200-HSG) Northern District of California</p> <p>Melissa Emert Gary S. Graifman KANTROWITZ, GOLDHAMER & GRAIFMAN, P.C. 135 Chestnut Ridge Road, Suite 200 Montvale, NJ 07645 <i>memert@kgglaw.com</i> <i>ggraifman@kgglaw.com</i> Telephone: (845) 356-2570 Facsimile: (845) 356-4335</p> <p>David S. Casey <i>dcasey@cglaw.com</i> Gayle M. Blatt <i>gmb@cglaw.com</i> P. Camille Guerra <i>camille@cglaw.com</i> 110 Laurel Street San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 238-1811 Facsimile: (619) 544-9232 CASEY GERRY SCHENK FRANCAVILLA BLATT & PENFIELD, LLP</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Eden, Pinho, Seawright, and Zager-Mayo</p>	<p><i>J.S. et al v. 23andMe, Inc., et al.</i> (Case No. 5:23-cv-05234- EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>Sharon J. Zinns ZINNS LAW, LLC 4243 Dunwoody Club Drive Suite 104 Atlanta, Georgia 30350 (404) 882-9002 <i>sharon@zinnslaw.com</i></p> <p>Maureen M. Brady Lucy McShane McSHANE & BRADY, LLC 1656 Washington Street, Suite 120 Kansas City, MO 64108 Telephone: (816) 888-8010 Facsimile: (816) 332-6295 <i>mbrady@mcshanebradylaw.com</i> <i>lmcshane@mcshanebradylaw.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs J.S., J.T., and A.L.</p>
<p><i>Mirza v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 3:23-cv- 05259- EMC) Northern District of California</p>	<p><i>Navarro v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 4:23-cv- 05281-EMC) Northern District of California</p>

<p>Seyed Abbas Kazerounian Mona Amini KAZEROUNI LAW GROUP, APC <i>ak@kazlg.com</i> <i>mona@kazlg.com</i> 245 Fischer Avenue, Unit D1 Costa Mesa, California 92626 Telephone: (800) 400-6808 Facsimile: (800) 520-5523</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Haris Mirza</p>	<p>Scott Edward Cole Laura Grace Van Note COLE & VAN NOTE 555 12th Street, Suite 2100 Oakland, California 94607 Telephone: (510) 891-9800 Facsimile: (510) 891-7030 <i>sec@colevannote.com</i> <i>lvn@colevannote.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Navarro and Blackwell</p>
<p><i>Greenberg v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 5:23- cv-05302- EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>John J. Nelson MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC 402 W Broadway, Suite 1760 San Diego, CA 92101 Tel.: (858) 209-6941 <i>jnelson@milberg.com</i></p> <p>Jeff Ostrow KOPELOWITZ OSTROW FERGUSON WEISELBERG GILBERT One West Las Olas Blvd., Suite 500 Fort Lauderdale, Florida 33301 Telephone: 954-525-4100 <i>ostrow@kolawyers.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Greenberg</p>	<p><i>Friend v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 4:23-cv- 05323-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>Kaveh S. Elihu Saima Ali Gipson 1001 Wilshire Boulevard Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 382-2222 Facsimile: (213) 382-2230 EMPLOYEE JUSTICE LEGAL GROUP, PC <i>kelihu@ejlglaw.com</i> <i>sali@ejlglaw.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Friend, Parra, and Rajamin</p>
<p><i>Hoffman et al v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 3:23-cv-05332-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>John J. Nelson MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, PLLC 402 W. Broadway, Suite 1760</p>	<p><i>Farmer v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 5:23-cv- 05341-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>M. Anderson Berry Gregory Haroutunian Brandon P. Jack CLAYEAO C. ARNOLD</p>

<p>San Diego, California 9 Tel.: (858) 209-6941 <i>jnelson@milberg.com</i></p> <p>Marc E. Dann Brian D. Flick DANNLAW <i>notices@dannlaw.com</i></p> <p>Thomas A. Zimmerman, Jr. ZIMMERMAN LAW OFFICES, P.C. 77 W. Washington Street, Suite 1220 Chicago, Illinois 60602 (312) 440-0020 telephone (312) 440-4180 facsimile <i>tom@attorneyzim.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Hoffman and Klawaitter</p>	<p>865 Howe Avenue Sacramento, CA 95825 Telephone: (916) 239-4778 <i>aberry@justice4you.com</i> <i>gharoutunian@justice4you.com</i> <i>bjack@justice4you.com</i></p> <p>Benjamin H. Kleine KLEINE PC 95 Third Street, 2nd Floor, #9048 San Francisco, CA 94103 Telephone: 415-465-5655 <i>ben@kleinepc.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Farmer</p>
<p><i>Berman et al v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 4:23-cv-05345-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>Ross M. Good LOFTUS & EISENBERG, LTD. 161 N. Clark, Suite 1600 Chicago, Illinois 60601 T: (312) 889-6625 <i>ross@loftusandeisenberg.com</i></p> <p>William Aron ARON LAW FIRM 15 W Carrillo St, Suite 217 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 T: (805) 618-1768 <i>bill@aronlawfirm.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Berman, Hawkins, Jones, Leifer, and Canfield Loftus</p>	<p><i>Tulchinsky v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 5:23- cv-05369-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>Michael R. Reese <i>mreese@reesellp.com</i> 100 West 93rd Street, 16th Floor New York, New York 10025 Telephone: (212) 643-0500</p> <p>George V. Granade <i>ggrandade@reesellp.com</i> 8484 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 515 Los Angeles, California 90211 Telephone: (310) 393-0070</p> <p>Charles D. Moore <i>cmoore@reesellp.com</i> 100 South 5th Street, Suite 1900 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 Telephone: (212) 643-0500</p> <p>REESE LLP</p> <p>Courtney L. Weiner <i>cw@courtneyweinerlaw.com</i> 1629 K St. NW, Suite 300 Washington, DC 20006 Telephone: (202) 827-9980</p>

	LAW OFFICE OF COURTNEY WEINER PLLC Attorneys for Plaintiff Tulchinsky
Seikel v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv- 05419-EMC) Northern District of California Ben F. Pierce Gore PIERCE GORE LAW FIRM, PC 315 Montgomery Street 10th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104 (408) 806-4600 piercegore@gmail.com Charles J. LaDuca Brendan Thompson CUNEO GILBERT & LADUCA, LLP 4725 Wisconsin Avenue NW Suite 200 Washington, DC 20016 (202) 789-3960 charles@cuneolaw.com brendan@cuneolaw.com Charles Barrett Daniella Bhadare-Valente Morgan L. Burkett NEAL & HARWELL, PLC 1201 Demonbreun St. Suite 1000 Nashville, TN 37203 (615) 244-1713 cbarrett@nealharwell.com dbhadare-valente@nealharwell.com mburkett@nealharwell.com	Fralix v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv- 05439-EMC) Northern District of California Eric M. Poulin eric.poulin@poulinwilley.com Blake G. Abbott blake.abbott@poulinwilley.com Paul J. Doolittle paul.doolittle@poulinwilley.com 32 Ann Street Charleston, SC 29403 Telephone: (803) 222-2222 Fax: (843) 494-5536 POULIN WILLEY ANASTOPOULO, LLC John Christian yanni@bohrenlaw.com 8560 West Sunset Boulevard, 4th Floor West Hollywood, CA 90069 Tel: 619-433-2803 Fax: 800-867-6779 Bohren BOHREN LAW, APC
Attorneys for Plaintiff Seikel	Attorneys for Plaintiff Fralix
Velez v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 3:23-cv- 05464-EMC) Northern District of California Karen Hanson Riebel Kate Baxter-Kauf Maureen Kane Berg LOCKRIDGE GRINDAL NAUEN P.L.L.P. 100 Washington Square, Suite 2200 Minneapolis, Minnesota 55401	Smith v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv- 05548- EMC) Northern District of California Nicholas A. Migliaccio 412 H Street NE, no. 302, Washington, DC, 20002 Office: (202) 470-3520 nmigliaccio@classlawdc.com

<p>Telephone: 612-339-6900 Facsimile: 612-339-0981 <i>khriebel@locklaw.com kmbaxter-kauf@locklaw.com mkberg@locklaw.com</i></p> <p>Gayle M. Blatt P. Camille Guerra CASEY GERRY SCHENK FRANCAVILLA BLATT & PENFIELD, LLP 110 Laurel Street San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: 619-238-1811 Facsimile: 619-544-9232 <i>gmb@cglaw.com camille@cglaw.com</i></p> <p>Gary F. Lynch LYNCH CARPENTER, LLP 1133 Penn Avenue, 5th Floor Pittsburg, PA 15222 Telephone: 412-322-9243 Facsimile: 412-231-0246 <i>gary@lcllp.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Velez</p>	<p>Jason S. Rathod <i>jrathod@classlawdc.com</i></p> <p>Matthew Smith 201 Spear Street, Suite 1100 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (831) 687-8255 MIGLIACCIO & RATHOD LLP <i>msmith@classlawdc.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Laquisha Smith</p>
<p><i>Alperstein et al v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 4:23-cv-05541-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>CLARKSON LAW FIRM, P.C. Ryan J. Clarkson <i>rclarkson@clarksonlawfirm.com</i> Yana Hart <i>yhart@clarksonlawfirm.com</i> Tiara Avaness <i>tavaness@clarksonlawfirm.com</i> Valter Malkhasyan <i>vmalkhasyan@clarksonlawfirm.com</i> 22525 Pacific Coast Highway Malibu, CA 90265 Tel: (213) 788-4050 Fax: (213) 788-4070</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Alperstein and Shoae</p>	<p><i>Furia v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 4:23-cv- 05565-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>Matthew Smith MIGLIACCIO & RATHOD LLP 201 Spear Street, Suite 1100 San Francisco, CA 94105 Tel: (831) 687-8255 <i>msmith@classlawdc.com</i></p> <p>Daniel E. Gustafson David A. Goodwin Matt Jacobs GUSTAFSON GLUEK PLLC 120 South Sixth Street, Suite 2600 Minneapolis, MN 55402 Tel: (612) 333-8844 <i>dgustafson@gustafsongluek.com</i> <i>dgoodwin@gustafsongluek.com</i> <i>mjacobs@gustafsongluek.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Furia</p>

<p>Schutz v. 23andMe Inc. (Case No. 3:23-cv-05579) Northern District of California</p> <p>KAPLAN FOX & KILSHEIMER LLP Laurence D. King Matthew B. George Blair E. Reed 1999 Harrison Street, Suite 1560 Oakland, CA 94612 Telephone: 415-772-4700 Facsimile: 415-772-4707 Email: lking@kaplanfox.com mgeorge@kaplanfox.com breed@kaplanfox.com</p> <p>BARNOW AND ASSOCIATES, P.C. Ben Barnow* Anthony L. Parkhill* 205 West Randolph Street, Suite 1630 Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: 312-621-2000 Facsimile: 312-641-5504 Email: b.barnow@barnowlaw.com aparkhill@barnowlaw.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Schutz and the Proposed Class</p>	<p>Vickery v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 3:23-cv- 05635-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>Rachele R. Byrd WOLF HALDENSTEIN ADLER FREEMAN & HERZ LLP 750 B Street, Suite 1820 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (619) 239-4599 Facsimile: (619) 234-4599 <i>byrd@whafh.com</i></p> <p>Jon Tostrud TOSTRUD LAW GROUP, PC 1925 Century Park East, Suite 2100 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: 310/278-2600 Facsimile: 310/278-2640 <i>jtostrud@tostrudlaw.com</i></p> <p>Erik H. Langeland ERIK H. LANGELAND, P.C. 733 Third Avenue, 16th Floor New York, NY. 10017 Telephone: (212) 354-6270 <i>elangeland@langelandlaw.com</i></p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Vickery</p>
<p>Sorensen v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv-05677-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>M. Anderson Berry Gregory Haroutunian Brandon P. Jack CLAYEO C. ARNOLD 865 Howe Avenue Sacramento, CA 95825 Telephone: (916) 239-4778 Facsimile: (916) 924-1829 <i>aberry@justice4you.com</i> <i>gharoutunian@justice4you.com</i> <i>bjack@justice4you.com</i></p> <p>William B. Federman</p>	<p>Doe v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 3:23-cv-05717-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>ROBBINS GELLER RUDMAN & DOWD LLP DOROTHY P. ANTULLIS* STUART A. DAVIDSON* LINDSEY H. TAYLOR* NICOLLE B. BRITO ALEXANDER C. COHEN* NICOLLE B. BRITO 225 NE Mizner Boulevard, Suite 720 Boca Raton, FL 33432 Telephone: 561/750-3000 561/750-3364 (fax)</p>

<p>FEDERMAN & SHERWOOD 10205 N. Pennsylvania Ave. Oklahoma City, OK 73120 -and- 212 W. Spring Valley Road Richardson, Texas 75081 Telephone: (405) 235-1560 Facsimile: (405) 239-2112 wbf@federmanlaw.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Sorensen</p>	<p>THE GRANT LAW FIRM, PLLC LYNDA J. GRANT* 521 Fifth Avenue, 17th Floor New York, NY 10175 Telephone: 212/292-4441 212/292-4442 (fax)</p> <p>LONGMAN LAW, P.C. HOWARD T. LONGMAN* 354 Eisenhower Parkway, Suite 1800 Livingston, NJ 07039 Telephone: 973/994-2315 973/994-2319 (fax)</p> <p>Attorneys for John Doe</p>
<p>Briana Dube v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv-05768-EMC) Northern District of California</p> <p>John J. Nelson MILBERG COLEMAN BRYSON PHILLIPS GROSSMAN, LLC 402 W. Broadway, Suite 1760 San Diego, CA 92101 Telephone: (858) 209-6941 Fax: (858) 209-6941 Email: jnelson@milberg.com</p> <p>Jason P. Sultzer, Esq. ** 270 Madison Avenue, Suite 1800 New York, NY 10016 Tel: (845) 483-7100 Fax: (888) 749-7747 sultzerj@thesultzerlawgroup.com</p> <p>Charles E. Schaffer, Esq. ** LEVIN SEDRAN & BERMAN 510 Walnut Street, Suite 500 Philadelphia, PA 19106 Tel: 215-592-1500 cschaffer@lfsblaw.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Dube the Proposed Class</p>	<p>Andrizzi v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv-05198) Northern District of California</p> <p>Daniel Srourian, Esq. SROURIAN LAW FIRM, P.C. 3435 Wilshire Blvd., Suite 1710 Los Angeles, California 90010 Telephone: (213) 474-3800 Facsimile: (213) 471-4160 Email: daniel@slfla.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Andrizzi</p>
<p>Brandon Molina v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv-05779-EMC)</p>	<p>Tracy Scott v. 23andMe Holding Co., 23andMe, Inc.</p>

<p>Northern District of California</p> <p>Daniel E. Barenbaum Christina M. Sarraf 425 California Street, Suite 2300 San Francisco, CA 94104 Telephone: (415) 433-3200 Facsimile: (415) 433-6382 Email: dbarenbaum@bermantabacco.com csarraf@bermantabacco.com Patrick T. Egan Steven J. Buttacavoli BERMAN TABACCO One Liberty Square Boston, MA 02109 Telephone: (617) 542-8300 Facsimile: (617) 542-1194 Email: pegan@bermantabacco.com sbuttacavoli@bermantabacco.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Molina</p>	<p>(Case No. 3:23cv5980) Northern District of California</p> <p>LEXINGTON LAW GROUP Mark N. Todzo Patrick R. Carey Meredyth L. Merrow 503 Divisadero Street San Francisco, CA 94117 Telephone: (415) 913-7800 Facsimile: (415) 759-4112 mtodzo@lexlawgroup.com</p> <p>Joseph P. Guglielmo Carey Alexander SCOTT+SCOTT ATTORNEYS AT LAW LLP The Helmsley Building 230 Park Avenue, 17th Floor New York, NY 10169-1820 Telephone: (212) 223-6444 Facsimile: (212) 223-6334 jguglielmo@scott-scott.com calexander@scott-scott.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Scott</p>
<p>Ioffe v. 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 5:23-cv-06205)</p> <p>Northern District of California</p> <p>SCHUBERT JONCKHEER & KOLBE LLP ROBERT C. SCHUBERT (rschubert@sjk.law) AMBER L. SCHUBERT (aschubert@sjk.law) 2001 Union St, Ste 200 San Francisco, CA 94123 Tel: (415) 788-4220 Fax: (415) 788-0161</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Ioffe</p>	<p>Rivers v. 23andMe Holding Co., 23andMe, Inc. (Case No. 3:23-cv-06481)</p> <p>Northern District of California</p> <p>AZRA MEHDI (SBN 220406) azram@themehdifirm.com The Mehdi Firm, PC 95 Third Street 2nd Floor No. 9122 San Francisco, CA 94103 Ph/Fax: (415) 905-8880 John C. Herman jherman@hermanjones.com Candace N. Smith csmith@hermanjones.com</p> <p>HERMAN JONES LLP 3424 Peachtree Road N.E., Suite 1650 Atlanta, Georgia 30326</p>

	<p>Telephone: (404) 504-6500 Facsimile: (404) 504-6501</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiffs Rivers and the Proposed Class</p>
<p><i>Melissa Ryan v. 23andMe Inc.</i> (Case No. 3:23-cv-5968) Northern District of California</p> <p>GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP Marc L. Godino 1925 Century Park East Suite 2100 Los Angeles, CA 90067 Telephone: (310) 201-9150 Facsimile: (310) 432-1495 Email: mgodino@glancylaw.com</p> <p>Brian P. Murray GLANCY PRONGAY & MURRAY LLP 230 Park Avenue, Suite 358 New York, NY 10169 Tel: (212) 682-5340 Fax: (212)-884-0988 bmurray@glancylaw.com</p> <p>LAW OFFICE OF PAUL C. WHALEN, P.C. Paul C. Whalen 768 Plandome Road Manhasset, NY 11030 Tel.: (516) 426-6870 paul@paulwhalen.com</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Ryan and the Proposed Class</p>	<p><i>Dhaman Gill v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 8:23-cv-02387-FWS-DFM) Central District of California</p> <p>Michael R. Reese mreese@reesellp.com REESE LLP 100 West 93rd Street, 16th Floor New York, New York 10025 Telephone: (212) 643-0500</p> <p>George V. Granade ggranade@reesellp.com REESE LLP 8484 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 515 Los Angeles, California 90211 Telephone: (310) 393-0070</p> <p>Kevin Laukaitis klaukaitis@laukaitislaw.com LAUKAITIS LAW LLC 954 Avenida Ponce De Leon Suite 205, #10518 San Juan, PR 00907 Telephone: (215) 789-4462</p> <p>Attorneys for Plaintiff Dhaman Gill</p>
<p><i>Bacus v. 23andMe, Inc.</i> (Case No. 1:23-cv-16828) Northern District of Illinois</p> <p>Andrea Gold Hassan A. Zavareei hzavareei@tzlegal.com Glenn E. Chappell gchappell@tzlegal.com David W. Lawler dlawler@tzlegal.com</p>	

Leora N. Friedman
lfriedman@tzlegal.com
TYCKO & ZAVAREEI LLP
2000 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
Suite 1010
Washington, D.C. 20006
Telephone: (202) 973-0900
Facsimile: (202) 973-0950

Attorneys for Plaintiff Michele Bacus

DATED: December 22, 2023

GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP

By: /s/ Ian C. Ballon
Ian C. Ballon
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP
Ballon@gtlaw.com
1900 University Avenue, 5th Floor
East Palo Alto, California 94303
Tel: 650-289-7881; Fax: 650-462-7881
Attorneys for Defendant, 23andMe, Inc., 23andMe
Pharmacy Holdings, Inc., 23andMe Holding Co.

Greenberg Traurig, LLP
1840 Century Park East | Suite 1900 | Los Angeles, CA 90067-2121

TO:

Clerk of Court
Everett McKinley Dirksen United States
Courthouse
219 South Dearborn Street
Chicago, IL 60604

